

The Law Explained: Session 1 – The Fundamental Truths of Law

Hello, this is Frank O'Collins and welcome to Session 1 of the series on the Law Explained and the topic tonight being "The Fundamental Truths of Law". Tonight we will continue from where we started last week and the introductory blog on this series of the [*Law Explained*](#) where we provided without a shadow of a doubt that for all the thousands and thousands of pages of history, structure and function of law described throughout the Ucadia Model, the essence of the law can be distilled down into just [*one hundred and forty four truths*](#).

Session 1 then is about making sure we have a clear foundation as to what is the law? What the law is not? The principles and key maxims that have stood the test of time and why there is such a cognitive dissonance between the truth of law, what is claimed officially in text books, dictionaries and legal colleges and the supposed "secret knowledge" held by practitioners of various legal fraternities and guilds?

If you have a sound foundation; and if you can grasp the essence of what was defined last week in the [*one hundred and forty four truths of law*](#); and if you can get a clear comprehension of the concepts discussed tonight, then it is entirely reasonable and achievable that you will be able to quickly grasp and be empowered by the topics to come in relation to trusts, estates, wills and testaments, persons, rights, writs, affidavits, evidence, court cases and many other key topics.

To help, there is a set of [*slides*](#) that summarize the concepts and points we will be discussing tonight. You can [*download the slide presentation*](#) through the link [*here*](#). There will also be slide presentations for each of the sessions within the present series on The Law Explained to help your study and learning.

When you do [*download the slide presentation*](#), there may be a few of you that might have seen similar looking slides from previous presentations that I have done. If that is the case, then please be careful not to assume all or most of the information is the same, as I have made some significant adjustments on most, if not all slides. Furthermore, many of the slides have never been published before. So, I urge all of you, even if you are well versed in Ucadia material, to view the slides for this session 1 with "fresh eyes".

Before we start Session 1, there is one housekeeping matter that I would like to discuss first on the quality and depth of the material we will be discussing tonight: Namely, what you are about to see is the distillation of three decades of constant research and reading. To be able to simplify and clarify some of the concepts that are listed here, has taken tens of thousands of hours of research and literally hundreds of thousands of dollars in research time, dedicated to Ucadia. It is given to you without fee or charge on the proviso that you will use such knowledge to help yourself and your immediate friends and relatives; but not to abuse the information, or falsely claim it as your own. In the past five years, there have been many dozens of immoral and shameless people that have made literally hundreds of thousands of dollars by stealing parts of Ucadia knowledge and falsely re-packaging it and claiming it as their own. If you are one of those people, coming back for yet more to steal, then I urge you to listen to the entire series of The Law Explained, because I am declaring a general amnesty so those of you prepared now to honor the Golden Rule of Law may lawfully and legitimately utilize the tools of Ucadia, instead of breaching the trust and honor of a life's work to falsely claim it as your own. I will discuss the exciting opportunities of how all of us

might be able to work together, instead of against one another as we progress through these blogs.

In the meantime, I ask all of you that come to listen to and read this blog and [download the Session 1 slide presentation](#) to consider how valuable you find this information in your own life and learning and situation and if you are able, to [make some kind of donation](#) to Ucadia as a matter of respect and moral decency to the source of it; and to [help](#) the research continue.

One final point before we start: If you have not done so already, please download the slide presentation as I will be referencing it as we move forward by the page numbers of the slides.

Session 1 – The Fundamental Truths of Law

We are now going to explore three truths:

1. The True Rules of Law, Justice and Due Process have never changed;
2. There has been no proper society under the Rule of Law for more than 400 years;
3. Ucadia is the only viable path to restoring the True Rule of Law and just societies.

In opening the slides I would like you to start with Page 4:

Page 4 – The Ultimate Truth of Reality

Life (Universe) is a Dream of Unique Collective Awareness, according to certain Rules

The oldest civilizations on the planet knew that life is a dream. They are the oldest indigenous peoples of around the planet such as Australia, the cultures of the sea people of Polynesia, the ancient tribes of Africa and Asia and civilizations of North America; and the Celts and all our ancestors knew that Life is a Dream according to rules. They also knew that the Universe is amoral; meaning it is neither good nor evil; However that the Universe will react in favor of free will and resist tyranny - being the removal of free will.

Page 5- The Tripartite Nature of our Existence

As we have said in the Ucadia blogs and in the Journey of UCA and throughout the Ucadia model, you are a spiritual being, possessing a unique mind, expressed in flesh and you are immortal. And, you can never die. One way of viewing all these components is the Tripartite Nature of your existence—of body, mind and spirit. When you come to the law, and you look at the structures of law you need to keep this model in mind as it keeps repeating in such structures. The concept that we are three components, the body, mind and spirit, re-occur in language, in terms, in form, in ritual throughout law and throughout the history of law. So, remember this perspective of the Tripartite Nature of our Existence of body, mind and spirit as we move forward.

Page 6- The Tripartite Relations of Life

The relation of “three” is to be found in many relations of Life and here we give three examples of that. We have the Tripartite view of time of the past, the present and the future. We have the Tripartite view of events and actions, the first being reason, the intention and the purpose of an

action or the motive in law. Then in the example (on the slide), we move to the cause of an action due to the reason. Thirdly, there is the action itself, the action relating to the cause and the reason—the three parts. We also have the example of the source of relation. We begin with the source, leading to an object. From there we have a derivative, a copy of the original object.

Page 7 – The Duality Nature of our Existence

Another way of viewing the nature of our existence is the concept of Duality. We have spoken of Tripartite, of three, and now let's look at the Duality view existence. Here we have the diagram of the Duality nature of our existence in terms of Reality vs. Fiction, of the Dream vs. the Dreamer, and of the Inner World vs. Outer World. In this example, we have the diagram of a female figure within reality as the example of the perception of ourselves and then outside of the boundary is the fiction, the fictional concept such as a piece of paper or a name where we create a person or a “strawman version” of ourselves. Here, we need to remind ourselves why it is so important to make the distinction between the two as they are separate.

Page 8 – The Duality Relations of Life

On Page 8, we see here the application of Duality again in the Duality relations of life. In this example we have the concept of Property. And Property is an example of Duality being a fictional concept called a “right of use”. You see on the left there the diagram of the home as the reality that we; it's the physical home. But you cannot hold your home. So as much as we claim to “possess” our homes we cannot put our arms around our homes. But on the right hand side (of the slide) we have the fictional home. The fictional home is a “right of use” that may then be proven in a Certificate of Title, in entitlement as the “right of use.” There is a classic example of the application of the Duality nature of our existence between the “real” and the “fiction.”

Page 9 – What is Law?

This is a summary of some of the key articles of the [**One Hundred Forty Four Truths of Law**](#) that we spoke of last week. And what is Law? On page 9 of the slides you see the statement, “A rule standard rule, or norm permitting or prohibiting certain Actions, derived by instruction, discovery, custom or consent.” There you have four icons that highlight the main division that we described: divine instruction of the wise council of elders or parliament or a legislature or discovery in the case of science or consent in the form of a vote or election or a plebiscite.

Page 10 – What is Law?

Let's look another way of viewing the Law that we spoke of again last week on Slide 10. Here we say that “All are equal under the Law, the Golden Rule of Law.” And then as we see a rule by divine instruction by tradition is regarded the highest of all laws. And a rule by scientific discovery, by general tradition, is the second highest view. Edict by council represents the people as another level of law. And then the plebiscite, the vote is the fourth level of law. In a democracy the Law of the people is regarded as the highest form of Law.

Page 11- What is a Right?

What is another fundamental truth of Law? We see this now on Page 11 and that is “What is a Right.” You hear this word, “Right”, used constantly in politics, in news, in protests, in law in court. We will talk more of this. What is essence is a “Right?” Here we summarize what a “Right” is by saying that it is really an Ecclesiastical Moral or Legal Capacity, a Privilege, a Liberty, a

Faculty or Power and associated obligations, remedy, relief and exceptions held in Trust. If you want to see more about “Rights” then please go and have a look at the Covenant of One Heaven, [***Pactum De Singularis Caelum***](#). Then, under [***Article 27***](#), you see a complete definition of Rights and in [***Article 28***](#); you see a complete exposition on Divine Rights and Natural Rights. In [***Article 29***](#) you see an exposition on Superior Rights and Inferior Rights. Now, if you look at the slide, what we are showing here are the certain aspects of Rights. There are Rights that we might regard as Natural Rights and Rights in Reality. Then there are Rights that relate to the fiction. We look at that Duality model again, using the home as the example, under Reality we may “possess” the home under the right *Ius Possessionis*, and we may use the home as our domicile through the *Ius Usus*, the Right of Use. We need to remember under the Duality model of Law there may well be and there usually are parallel Rights beyond simply possession, such as *Ius Possidendi*, the Right of Dominion ownership, separated from the Right of Possession. *Ius In Re Propria* are the Rights of fruits enjoyment as the owner. And there is the *Ius Proprietatis* that is the Right of property ownership. So, in practice what this particular slide shows us is that if we ignore the right-hand side of Rights in Duality, if we forget that there is Duality occurring in law frequently and constantly when we talk about property and when we talk about Rights. We may feel that we “own” a property by virtue of possessing it. But, in reality we may only be the “occupier” and we may only possess a lower Right and someone else possesses a much, much higher Right than us. They actually own a property on a record in a register and we are merely the tenants. We will come back to this in the series particularly when we start to talk about the aspects of foreclosure and how we are able to perfect title and ownership and rights within our own Estates and Trusts.

Page 12- What are some key Rights?

What are some of the key rights that are bestowed to all men and women by the Divine Creator? Here we have ten key Rights that I have given as an example. As I mentioned earlier if you want to see the full complement of Rights, of Divine and Natural Rights, Superior and Inferior Rights, go and read [***Articles 27, 28 and 29***](#) of the Sacred Covenant, [***Pactum De Singularis Caelum***](#). Just to help, by giving some foundation to fundamental truths of Law, let’s look at the ten that are listed here:

The first is *Ius Naturale Vitam* that is the Natural Right to Life. Then there is *Ius Naturale Vivus*, the Natural Right to Possession and Ownership of one’s own body. *Ius Naturale Proprius* is the Natural Right to one’s own character, identity and name. *Ius Naturale Nutrimens* is the Natural Right to food and sustenance. *Ius Naturale Tectum* is the Natural Right to shelter. *Ius Naturale Salutis* is the Natural Right to safety and well being. *Ius Naturale Connubii* is the Natural Right of Union; we don’t need a “license” to marry. A license implies seeking legal permission to commit an illegal act. *Ius Naturale Usus* is the Natural Right of Use. *Ius Naturale Usarae* is the Natural Right to the Fruits and Enjoyment of Use. This is what usury used to mean. It was the Natural Rights to the Fruits and Enjoyment of Use, such as income, produce, before they made it an immoral and illegal act and convinced us that usury was bad. That’s unbelievable! Finally there is *Ius Naturale Fructibus* that is the Natural Rights to the fruits, the energy, the results of one’s own work. It’s the fruits of your own work; it is the usury of your own work and your own “sweat equity.” These are then an example of ten fundamental rights that are bestowed to all men and women by the Divine Creator.

Page 13- The Law is necessarily fictional

Let’s look at the fact that the Law is necessarily fictional. The reason that the Law is necessarily fictional is that when the Law seeks to prohibit or permit certain actions in order to prove that something has been breached or in order to enforce something it has to be re-created. There has

to be a re-presentation of the alleged events of reality. And so, the Law is effectively about the “drama” that is the re-creation of events of the characters, the stages of drama. On the left you see the Tripartite Model of Reason, of Cause and of Action. On the right hand side when we look at the Law, we see the Tripartite Model of Law in first dealing with Form. The two key forms we see there are *Lex Loci* that is the law of the place that claims authority over the matter and *Lex Fori* that is the law of the forum claiming the authority to hear and judge the matter. Then we have the Cause, the immediate cause, as the suit or action pending and also the one accused. Finally we have Action and there are two actions: *Actio in Personum*, the action against the Person, and a violation of one or more Rights, or the *Actio in Rem*, the Action of Thing in the recovery of some contested Right or Property.

Page 14 – The legal world of Form is Fiction

This is the legal world of Form accepting that Law is necessarily Fiction; here we see that the legal world converts the physical world into Persons or Things. What we mean by this is that they physical world has such names as the different forms of objects and concepts where we might describe something as an object. Or we might describe a being or a species or an animal or plant. In order to function the legal world converts all of those into one of either being “Persons” or “Things.” Person is *in personam*. Things are described as *Re* or *in re* or *in rem*. One of the points we need to highlight here is that for several hundred years Roman Courts have been falsely converting Natural Persons into Things. That is denying logic, reason and justice. Some people even volunteer to declare themselves as “things” when they accept being called *Pro Se*. *Pro Se* is short for *Pro Se in Rem*, or a declaration in the court to the effect that I am a thing. One should never, ever accept or declare *Pro Se*.

Page 15 – What is Person?

Let’s do a quick summary of person now. We will talk about Person more throughout the series, but this is getting a handle on the fundamentals and the fundamental truths of law that we said have never changed. Here, we say that a Person is a fictional Form enclosing attributes as the Identity of a Man/Woman or a Body Politic, Assembly, or indeed, a Thing. The best way to view the different aspects of Person is to remember as is built in English, the concept of the First Person, the Second Person and the Third Person. In the case of the First Person when we use such pronouns such as I, Thou, Me, My, Mine, Myself, We, Us, Our and Ourselves we mean the First Person or the Natural Person, described as the *Propria Persona* as in the Office of Man or the Office of Woman.

The Second Person is defined by such pronouns as You, Ye, Yours, Yourself, and Yourselves. The Second Person is also noted as the Artificial Person or *Sui Juris*, in One’s Own Right, or *Agentis Iuris* (or *Ius Agens*) as an Agent of the Principal.

Finally we have the Third Person that is predominantly the “Thing.” It is the he, she, it, they, them their, theirs, and themselves. The Third Person is a legal person or a statutory or surrogate person as in *Alieni Iuris*, that means “under the control of another as a ward, a lunatic, or an infant.” Or it can be *Pro Se* that is short for *Pro Se In Rem* that means I am a thing.

There is the overview of Person. It’s a good overview to get a handle on the levels of person so hopefully we don’t get tricked into agreeing to being a person and we know exactly where we sit and stand.

Page 16 – What is a Thing?

This is a very important concept for us to comprehend, particularly on the fundamental Truths of Law. A thing as we describe her is a temporary Form under the complete control of the court where its status or ownership is disputed. So another way of describing what is going on with a thing is where there is some contestable dispute regarding a Property or a form of Property or Right. Where there is some contest, something that would otherwise be described as an object of being a species, an animal, a plant, a man, or a house will be converted either into a Thing or it won't be converted into a Thing within the complete control of the courts. The right the court claims is *Ius in Rem*, the Right in Rem.

On this slide we have this important commentary and I want to read it out. It says that when we think about things in their system and in the law system, Things have no Mind or Soul. Therefore they have no Will. For example, a Thing that comes to court on summons, and remember that virtually all summonses are in Rem, a summons is the secret letter or demand. If a Thing comes to such a court without an Agent then it can be assumed that the Thing is to be a “wreck”, part of a “wreck”, or “lost at sea” and “abandoned cargo.” So, it can be bailed as property in surety for the debt that has just been agreed to without objection. In other words the Thing can be put into a warehouse known otherwise as a prison if some other surety cannot be found.

So these are incredibly important, fundamental concepts that we need to appreciate now before we get into the complexities even though we will explain those procedures of Law in practical issues whether it be taxes or foreclosures, trusts or estates, or claims of any kind.

Page 17 – The Hierarchy of Law

We remind ourselves of the Hierarchy of Law. By tradition Divine Law is the highest law followed law by Natural Law and then Positive Law – the laws of Men and Women under Natural Law. When we talk of such things as Admiralty Law, Maritime Law, Administrative Law, Contract Law, and International Law, all of these are forms of Positive Law and the lowest forms of Law.

Page 18 – All Law is first Auricular (spoken)

Here we state an important fact of the Law from the beginning of civilization. To comprehend why in a system that is so automated as the present day, in order to maintain the appearance of law even though there is not true Rule of Law and has been no true Rule of Law for more than four hundred years, in order to maintain the appearance of law this fact cannot be avoided. All law is first auricular and writing is and has always been the memorialization of an event and not the other way around.

Let me give you some examples: Laws begin as Bills that are then read into Hansard; Monarchs and Leaders pronounce Laws into existence. Officials are vested into office after speaking an oath. Defendants attend and present their defensive hearings. Court cases are founded on the Sacrament of Confession. All are based on auricular, spoken information and evidence. In the memorialization proceedings and events are recorded into the record. The intention and conveyance between two parties is memorialized into a deed.

Page 19 – The Golden Rule of Law

Let's return to the concept of The Golden Rule of Law so we can make clear the difference between

what is Law and what is not Law. I know that I have said this repeatedly, but because the principle of the Golden Rule of Law has been so emaciated, removed, hidden, and disrespected, it is easy for us to fall back into the details and not remember this as the cornerstone of everything that we are discussing. We state again that the Golden Rule is that all are equal under the same Law. In that diagram we show that if the Golden Rule exists, the people—the bankers and judges—would all be subject to the same law.

There would be no presumptions of superiority to the Law. There would be no disrespect of the Law by one who is assuming to be “higher than another.” All people of the same community are then subject to the same Rule of Law if the Golden Rule exists, the Golden Rule of Law exists. All are bound to live by the laws of the community if the Golden Rule of Law exists. No one may be accused or seek relief except by law under the Rule of Law. No one may be punished except by Law under the Rule of Law.

Page 20 – What Law is Not

Knowing what the Golden Rule is, we can now be absolutely and unmistakably emphatic as to What the Law is Not. On Page 20 we see the diagram that Where there it no Rule of Law, where there is no equality there Is No Law! We have some irrefutable facts: a rule that is secret cannot be a Law. It is an absurdity to conceive a rule being secret as a law. A rule that is unclear in meaning cannot be a Law; a rule that cannot be applied cannot be a Law. Where there is no justice there is no Law. Where there is no Honor of Rule of Law, there is no Law. We will come back to this over and over.

Why is this important? It is important as we will say over and over again in this series; we exist in a present world where the vast majority of people believe that Law, Rule of Law exists, because the apparatus is in place to create the illusion and perception of Law. And once we know what the Law is, and once we know what the Law depends upon as its fundamentals then we are more able to easily expose the false perceptions and the false claims of the (existence of) Rule of Law.

Page 21 – What is Justice?

Justice is the application of the Rule of Law to Rights through Due Process by Officers possessing Clean Hands, Good Trust and Impartiality. You have heard me speak about this before, about the necessity that when any matter is brought forward in matters of Law there must be Clean Hands meaning that there is no “agenda” and one cannot profit from one’s own wrong. There is *Bona Fide* that means “good trust”. *Bona Fide* means Good Trust and not good faith. There is Impartiality and Objectivity; that means that there is no prejudice.

So, when we come to a court of law and the parties moving (the cause) have an interest in it that means that they are profiting from their own fraud and their own wrong and when they are breaching the rules of trust, trustees and fiduciary, when they are not taking proper oaths and vows, when they are lying and giving false testimony, and where a judge or magistrate is being prejudicial in the matter, then there is no justice. There is no justice! It is not a matter of throwing our hands up and saying woe is us. First, as we are doing here, it is to see what the fundamentals are, before we move onto the remedy and possible solutions as to exposing the breaches of trust, in finding remedy and in exposing the prejudice and finding remedy and in exposing the fraud and the corruption and finding relief and remedy.

First it is stating the fact before we are moving to the remedy. Here we speak to the fundamentals of what is and what is not justice. To give further examples of that we move to what is due process and maxims of justice.

Page 22 – What is Not Justice?

We speak of maxims of justice and all are equal under the Law; all are accountable and answerable under the Law. All have the right to a fair trial, to know the accusations and the proof against them and that the burden of proof lies upon him who accuses and not he who denies. Most importantly, all are without blemish, and all are innocent until proven culpable. These principles were all described and defined in the [**One Hundred and Forty Four Articles of Truth of Law**](#) that we spoke of last week. Here is it important to remember and remind ourselves of these fundamentals again before we move into how remedy may be applied, how relief may be applied, how we may call to exception the false presumptions made against us.

Page 23 – What is Due Process?

10 Ancient Traditions and Procedures and Maxims of Justice.

Page 24 – The Rule of Relation

The Rule of Relation is here because Logic would presume that no one could conceive that an object or a concept be created that is greater than its creator. In truth we live in a system now that is so broken for so long that in the absence of proper teaching of Logic and Reason we have corporations that are in fact operating as if they are greater than their creator. This is an absurdity and an absolute abomination of Law and a delusion and thus automatically without any rights and certainly if raised in the proper manner with absolutely no recourse to continue in such a fashion.

So, on the left we have the logical concept that a company formed from an Estate cannot have more power than the Estate that created it. And certainly the estate can have no more power or greater power than the Trust from where the Rights are derived. But on the right hand side we have the absurd notion where companies are claiming greater powers than the Estates that created the companies and the Estates are creating greater powers than the Trusts that created the Estates. Another absurdity that we are facing in a broken system is that Logic provides to us as an answer and a form of remedy just in knowing the absurdity and that is the Rule of Sequence.

Page 25 – The Rule of Sequence

The Rule of Sequence states that an object or a concept created cannot exist before the object or concept that created it. You cannot be born before your Mother or your Father! It's an absurdity, an irrationality, a delusion and it's an idiocy that exposes the one who makes such an assertion incapable of presenting a defense or certainly incapable of presenting an accusation. It renders it immediately null and void if presented in the proper manner.

On the left hand side we use the example of person then a Law and then a crime. In other words a Person exists, a Law is made, a crime may be committed and one may be punished then by that crime. One cannot have a crime committed before the Person exists to create the crime. One, retrospectively, one cannot argue that an action is criminal after the fact. That in itself is an absurdity and yet we have in many parts of the world for over the last hundred years, claimed laws on the books that were invented by retrospective legislation. This is an absolute abomination and absurdity in Law.

Page 26 – Law, Reason and Action

A valid Law is always applied to an alleged Action and its Reason. Again we see the concept again of Reason to Action and Cause is in the center. In the past we see in the form of Law the Reason is the Mens Rea that means is “guilty mind” and Action in terms of Actus Reus that means the guilty act. And why you don’t see the proof of Reason as Mens Rea of Guilty Mind in modern courts any more is that model only applies to in personam. If one is describing in rem and one is describing a Thing, then Reason is irrelevant. Only the Action needs to be proven because a Thing is assumed to have no mind and that is how they get away with that.

Page 27 – What is an Action In Law?

Let’s complete this discussion of fundamentals in Law and look at Action. Here we have an example of ancient Roman Law, Carolingian Law and of English Law before the 1800s. In the first example in ancient Roman Law we have the Testimony, the Accusatio and then the request for some form of Relief, the Praeceptum and then the form, the Ius Vocare where the Accusation was formerly spoken into a court, a Tribunal.

Under the Carolingian Law from the 9th to the 11th centuries, we have the Testimony being memorialized in the Memorandum and then we have the Request that is the Petition and then the Form is the Original Writ.

In English Law before Summary Justice was invented in the 1840s and the entire system was bastardized by converting everyone into a Thing, we began with the Affidavit that then led to the Complaint and then the Bill being issued. This is the summary of certain key fundamentals of law and I hope that as we move forward in the sessions that you take the time to go back to this slide presentation and remind yourself of those fundamentals. We will be building on this knowledge as we move into more complex definitions of being able to comprehend remedy in matters of Trusts and Estates and in matters of Foreclosure, Taxes or other issues we may be facing.

Truth #2- There has been no Western society under the Rule of Law for more than 400 years

I want to address this second truth and that is that there has been no Law, no Rule of Law of any Western society for more than four hundred years. In truth what we have been dealing with are the various layers of tyranny, piracy and organized crime. The best way to prove that to you is in two forms: One is to let the sheer number of Laws speak for themselves and the second will be to look at the deliberate cognitive dissonance between the official presentation of certain key concepts such as Rule of Law and the occult knowledge that is exposed to those that are members of their fraternities and then finally the real truth in law that even those in their own fraternities are deprived of knowing. What I am saying is that the first people that the system lies to are the lawyers.

Page 29 – History of Law – From beginning to 1540

If we look at page 29 from the History of Law to the beginning to 1540 I’ll move through these in quick order because they are self-evident. We can reasonably state that only 500,000 Laws were promulgated since beginning of civilization to 1540; that’s half a million laws whereby 90% were variations of same basic laws. I haven’t provided further detail here but I have in previous blogs shown that when one looks at such history as the Code of Hammurabi, the Law of Hammurabi,

and other forms of law over history, you will find the same basic principles appearing over and over again, thus saying that the vast majority of Law up until that time of 1540 was variations of the same thing.

Page 30 – History of Law – From 1540 to 1798

When we look from 1540 to 1793 we see that 500,000 Laws were created in that space of time. While it might have taken thousands of years to reach the figure of half a million, in less than 300 years 500,000 laws were created, predominantly through England with less than 40% of them having any reference to true historic law. So what was going on? Well, we were staring to see the concept such as privilege where Rights were being restricted and where there were limits on capacity and the growth of revenues and duties as well as the birth of annuities and other forms of possession in favor of ownership rather than the actual possession.

Page 31 – History of Law – From 1799 to 1920

When we look at this history of Law from 1799 to 1920 we see the astounding change where now there were more than 10,000,000 Laws created in less than 200 years from 1799 to 1920 with less than 20% of them having any true resemblance to the true historic law. What was going on? From 1799 to 1920 we saw the complete destruction of any notions of equality of rights or Rule of Law with millions of law that granted privileges, immunities and powers that were particularly focused on the introduction of the notion of faculty. Faculty was a privilege or a special power granted to a person to do or refrain from doing something that would otherwise be made illegal. They made Matrimony illegal. They made the Natural Right of choosing a Mate, a Union, illegal. That is what a marriage license is. They made the concept of living in a shelter illegal. You could not live without a Settlement Certificate if you were poor. And just to prove to you that they completely eliminated any notion of the Rule of Law, the proposed laws that were put forward into a Parliament were no longer Statutes or Petitions; they became Bills both in a commercial sense and a Bill in the form of obtaining an exemption, an exception, a privilege, a liberty. That is exactly what a Bill is and that is exactly what Bills do. They grant privileges, ownership, rights and alienate Rights of the general population for the benefit of a few. That is exactly what Bills do and that is why they call them Bills. It is in plain sight.

Page 32 – History of Law – From 1945 to present

As we move from 1945 to the present, it only gets worse. Since 1945 to the present there have been over 100 million laws been created across the planet with less than one percent of those having any resemblance to history. That is why we can say effectively that from 1801 there is absolutely no Rule of Law. Anyone that says to you that there is Rule of Law is lying or is ignorant. There has been absolutely no Justice in English countries since the 1840s. Why? Because under the Summary Justice Acts beginning in the 1840s, all are considered guilty until proven innocent. So why is there not greater clarity amongst those that may be attorneys or may go to law school or may be involved in some form of law? Why is there such a dissonance?

Page 33 – The Truth of Rule of Law

Why, indeed, do we still a cognitive dissonance in the Truth Movement? It begins by looking at slide 33 as we go through this briefly. I won't read through them all and will let you read them for what they are. But they are here to provide a form of clarity so that we can comprehend the truth of what I said before and that is the first people they lie to are lawyers. There has been no proper Rule of Law for more than 400 years. In the first box on the left hand side we have the official

public claim; it is what the public is told. In the central box we have what the fraternities teach their own members that is the inside knowledge and the edge that gives them a step up in society and helps them grant immunities and privileges. It is where knowledge is power and they may find how to gain their millions and live the lives they wish, if they don't deviate from the rules of the fraternity. On the right hand side we have the absolute Truth in Law.

Page 34 – The Truth of modern Public Law

Now we see a very practical example particularly when we are dealing with lawyers, barristers, attorneys, judges and others that are familiar in the practice of modern law. So here we have the state and the truth of modern law. The "Public Law" of modern nations is actually Corporate By Laws of Private Company. I will read these out because this is a good example. On the left hand side we have people that read the dictionaries, the laws, the statutes and agree by the official Public Claim that we are under statutory and common law that is that the law of the people is public and formed through statutes passed by legislature or precedents established through the courts, or customary maxims or common law applied over centuries.

The next one is secret occult beliefs that are codes and procedures. Here the inside knowledge for attorneys and lawyers is that Public Law that we just described is largely ignored and instead executive regulations and codes, policies and procedures like the US Codes is what determines the outcome of Law in practice. In other words forget about quoting statutes, forget about quoting common law, and forget about quoting the Constitution. What runs the courts are the codes and procedures such as the US Codes? However, the Truth in Law that even the lawyers are deprived of is the reality that it is all corporate bylaws. In other words public institutions and government is now controlled by private, corporate bodies and executive regulations are dictated and not law. Codes and procedures are nothing more than corporate bylaws. The reason that the US Codes blatantly deviate from hundreds of statutes, of hundreds of laws passed by US Congress, and I have gone through and demonstrated that this is fact, and the reason that the US Codes do not resemble the Public Law of United States is that it is a corporate set of bylaws and nothing more of the corporation known as the United States Inc.

Page 35 – The Truth of modern Judges

This one is important and gives an insight to what a judge knows and what a magistrate may know, what you think you know and what is the Truth. Again this shows the cognitive dissonance that you face when you go to a court room. This is the Truth of modern judges. On the left hand side we are told that a judge or a justice is a public officer appointed by legislative or executive authority to administer the law in a public court of record and justice. That is the standard, stock, official definition of a judge. The reality is that the inside knowledge that the judges have that makes them feel arrogant towards you, self-confident towards you and wary of you if you start to ask pointed questions is that they believe that a judge is a privately empowered or licensed agent or contractor acting as a surrogate and this are key words, a surrogate justice, that you will hear me saying in coming weeks. A surrogate justice is a judge employed to arbitrate matters for the State and other clients brought into his or her place of business, that is why he or she has a plaque that is designating his or her place of business. That is how a judge thinks, how a judge is trained and that is the inside occult knowledge that judges have. The truth that only a few judges fully appreciate and know, such as the president judges, the chief justices, and this is the Truth in Law that I have described this law before. I will describe this again: the Truth in Law is that a judge is nothing more than registrars of ecclesiastical & admiralty causes. Since the late 19th century the judge is no longer a justice permitted to determine points of law. That is illegal and that is why they have to have a license. They are merely registrars of ecclesiastical and admiralty causes

empowered to enter into the Public Record based on procedures and policies only. In other words they ceased to have any right to judge. A judge is not permitted to judge. Their license gives them the ability to ask questions only to the extent to clarify the facts before them. That is the limit of their power. Now you know more than most judges know; I have the statutes that brought this into existence, that were the foundation of the Supreme Courts of Judicature Procedures Acts that begin in the late 19th Century. I will include these as links in the blog. See: [38&39Vict c77](#), [40&41Vict c9](#), [42&43Vict c78](#), [44&45Vict c68](#)

Page 36 – The Truth of the Legal Adversarial System

I will raise this again and this is a point to highlight. It is the cognitive difference between every single solicitor, attorney, and barrister that you will face. There is self-confidence, a cockiness and self-assuredness of these attorneys and legal practitioners that you will face. There is a reason for that. This is one of the key reasons. They believe they know more than you do, particularly on this point that is the Truth of the Legal Adversarial System.

On the Public Side that is the left hand box we are told that substance of evidence and argument usually wins. In either the adversarial or the inquisition system of law, the truth of the case is proven upon the strength of the evidence and merits of the arguments by the accuser, the investigator or the one being accused. What attorneys, solicitors, barristers and legal practitioners are introduced to is that method and style of argument is more important than substance. The style is more important than the substance. It is an ethic expressed as knowledge of special forms, special procedures and exception are more important to winning than merely the substance of the evidence and argument.

This is why you find certainly in paper and in any kind of legal proceeding this never-ending move towards Motions to Strike and special forms and all kinds of attempts to obstruct, obviate, and delay. All of these are kind of tricks of the trade that attorneys and solicitors and barristers believe that they have. They are trained to believe that method and style of argument is more important. Probably the greatest example of that is what is called the Socratic Method. It is a lie of history; Socrates was never a lawyer. He would never have argued the way that lawyers argue. It should actually be called the Monty Python Method after the dead parrot play where a man comes to claim his parrot is dead and John Cleese claims the parrot is still alive. It is basically a “he-said, she-said argument.”

That is really what the Socratic Method is. It is what attorneys and lawyers believe and that is they can play “hot potato” and place the liability on the one left having to answer, usually the defendant. This is what you see in endless letters with attorneys who make a claim, you deny the claim, they make the claim again, and you deny it again, they make the claim again and if you do responses with attorneys without using affidavits and proper form you will find that they just never stop. They honestly believe that through the Socratic Method, the “hot potato”, or the “pass the parcel” that so long as the liability ends with the opponent they have one. It is the same in court. Here’s the truth that exposes that all that self-confidence of attorneys, solicitors and barristers is completely ill-founded and that is that Guilt is already assumed under Summary Justice.

In other words since the 19th Century under the Summary Justice model Guilt is already assumed the moment an accusation is raised. A summons is a form of a letter of demand and if not objected to proves the liability for the debt. Simply appearing on a summons is proof of acceptance of the liability of the penal sum. The tricks of the attorneys and the lawyers is merely for show and to assure that if there is genuinely an error it can be corrected. That is it. It’s all for

show and a backup to show that if there is an error in Law that the attorneys will pick it up. That is it that is the truth.

Page 37– The Truth of modern Police Powers

Police are employed to raise revenue, protect the rich and only then protect the people. That is the fact from the very first police departments being formed in London and ever since them. See [29Geo2 c25](#), [31Geo2 c17](#), [32Geo3 c53](#), [42Geo3 c76](#), [48Geo3 c140](#), [10Geo4 c44](#), [3&4Will4 c19](#), [3&4Will4 c46](#), [6&7Will4 c29](#), [2&3Vict c47](#) and [2&3Vict c71](#) as examples.

Page 38 – State of Law Today

The point is to show you why you are encountering the cognitive dissonance in this second part when you try to speak to the third box as to the Truth in Law. When you jump from the public view and bypass the occult view that is a lie and then try to speak to the truth that attorneys, barristers, solicitors and judges and people who live their daily lives in Law cannot hear you. They don't know the Law! They don't know the Truth! They are absolutely confident that they know something that you don't know because that is how they are trained. They are being lied to and their whole world is a lie. They have no knowledge of the Truth. That is exactly how the system divides and conquers. It keeps every element separate.

The reason I raise that to you is so that you are not frustrated when you face the constant inertia of friction of cognitive dissonance when you start to become more competent in the fundamentals of Law.

Page 39 – Ucadia is the Only Viable Path

Truth #3 Ucadia is the only viable path to restoring the True Rule of Law. The only way of proving that is for you to read the Ucadia Model, read the Covenant of One Heaven—[Pactum De Singularis Caelum](#), [Article 25](#) of that Covenant that gives the full components to Ucadia. It is laid out and there is not other model that is more complete, more comprehensive, than the Ucadia Model, I assure you.

Up until now I also concede that at the beginning of audio tonight, that because there has not been the level of services that you need, because there has not been the access to the Gazette and the tools and templates that you need, it has created a demand that has then been fulfilled by other people who stepped into that void and were prepared to offer their various opinions and ideas, often very profitably. So I am not at war any more with those that have taken in pieces of Ucadia or have presented cases that are not correct necessarily as to the fundamentals of Law. Why I am asking all of you and particularly those of you that are in that position, let's start afresh and speak as things are. Let's work together and not against one another. As you see tonight that if we agree on the principles, if we acknowledge the fundamentals and move through each of the stages of this series that we will be doing as to real relief and real remedy as we address these key components of Laws, Trusts, Estates, Wills and Testaments, of Relief and Remedy and to real tangible issues of Court procedures and of people in prison, foreclosures, protecting assets, that as we move forward, let's move forward together.